Chapter 1 – Embedded Systems and Sensors

1.0 Introduction to Embedded Systems

Embedded System – A system designed for a special purpose with the ability to execute software and interact with the environment in a specific way

- CONTAINS:
- · I/O

0

- Processing Unit (CPU/MCU/SOAC) Central Processing Unit, Microprocessing Unit, System on a Chip
 - CPU (has ALU, databus, control units, etc.)
 - o MCU
 - It is a CPU + embedded I/O
 - Arduino development boards, etc
 - DSP (interfaces to connect microphones) Digital Signal Processor
 - Processes Math and Signals
 - Systems on a chip
 - Qualcomm Snapdragon is a SOAC not just a CPU
 - Everything on a motherboard goes into the same chip
 - Smaller, energy efficient, cheaper
 - Loses ability to upgrade components, have to completely replace once obsolete

- Choice depends on: Pricing, Speed, and Codebase

Common Issues of Embedded Systems Programming:

- Costs
- Reliability/Failsafes
- Specific hardware limitations
- Security Limitations
- FPGAs
 - o Programming hardware so that it can implement logical functions
- Firmware- The software that runs an embedded system

Embedded System Design tradeoffs:

Price, power, computational power

1.1 Sensors

Sensor – Thing that converts analog data to digital data. Take a physical quantity, and by some process, convert it to an electric signal. This conversion is usually imprecise, or **noisy**

Properties of Sensors:

Consistency: When measuring the same property it should give the same result

- Precision: How much detail the result has
- Accuracy: How close the result is to the actual quantity being measured

Good Sensors: Sensitive to the quantity being measured but not to other quantities not being measured

- r(x) = ax+b, where x is physical quantity and r(x) is the response. We want a scaled representation of the quantity we are measuring
 - r(x) = response
 - x = physical quantity

b = offset

We can also have stuff like r(x) = a*log(x)

We know that r(x) = x does not exist due to **NOISE**.

Major Steps for sensing:

- Measuring the signal (from physical to electric signal, conversion is noisy)
- Storing electric signal (precision and significant figures, missing data)

Zero Noise Model

Doesn't work at all for particle filtering... not realistic.

Noisy Sensor Model

R(x) = f(x) + noise

Where noise is sampling noise (conversion, sampling, quantization, measuring)

Observation – measuring changes the quantity one is measuring

Noise Filtering

Need a noise model

Uniformly distributed (random static on a channel)



Zero Mean Gaussian noise (evenly distributed lower chances of noise)

ZMG model is superior, as we have a PDF to check the zero-mean. We only need the STD Dev to measure the noise. The smaller the sigma the better.

We assume that usually, the noise is **Zero Mean Gaussian and IID (Independently Identically Distributed)** Filtering noise out by averaging works if **x is slow-changing**

What if x changes over time? We need to filter/sample!

Capture your signal

Filter noise out (assumption about how noise behaves)

Noise changes faster than the signal

Sampling

Taking uniform data points from analog signal to reconstruct a digital representation. As above ^

Sampling Frequency

More sampling -> More storage required, limited by speed of sampling process

Less sampling -> Loss of accuracy

Critical amount of sampling needed to capture signal in digital format: 2x Max Frequency/Signal

e.g. since human hearing is 20HZ-20kHz, we should sample at 40kHz

Aliasing

When we sample at a rate that creates a digital representation of data that does **NOT** represent the signal we are sampling. (Fake signal data!)

Chapter 2 – Localization

2.0 Localization

Localization – the process of finding out where you are on a given map when placed at a randomized location. Map contains landmarks that identify locations. Use sensors to determine surroundings and match corresponding landmarks onto the map **Assumptions**

1 Map does not change and is up-to-date

2 Robot does not move randomly, only under guidance

3 Robot can estimate its motion

Approaches to Robot Localization: (Both are super noisy and generally deviate from truth as time progresses)

- **Dead Reckoning** Calculating one's current position using previously determined location and advancing from that position using estimated speeds over elapsed time and course tracking motion over time
- Inertial navigation Using sensors to determine acceleration and rotation instead of distance travelled and determine
 position using that
- **Absolute measurement** The usage of landmark and beacons to triangulate position, landmarks being specific and identifiable. Lots of demand on identifying landmarks and finding specific landmarks. Enormous effort to create

Localization in Robotics

The proper method is to use probability.

Belief -> The certainty of the robot about the world around it

Markov Localization – Sebastian Thrun

 $Bel(x_k) = P(x_k | d_0, d_1...d_k)$

This means that the

Belief of robots state at x_k = probability of x_k position in map given data measurements $d_{0...}d_k$

The idea is to use recent information, such that

 $X_{k\!\cdot\!1}$ is where the robot was a moment ago

D_k is the current measurement

 $A_{k\mbox{-}1}$ is what the robot just did

Initially at $x_{0},$ the robots probability is uniformly distributed all over the map

It takes two different steps:

Acting – $P(x_k | x_{k-1}, a_{k-1})$ Remark: Needs to know how robot moves

Sensing – $P(d_k | x_k)$ – agreement. Remark: $P(x_{k+1}d_k)$ is maximum likelihood

Histogram Localization

Divide map into a grid and assign probabilities to each square.

Localization Steps:

Senses, updates grid probability, normalizes Moves, shifts grid probability, normalizes

Bel = Sum(P(xk | xk-1))

Difficult - think of difficulty to transform map of Toronto into a grid map

Particle Filtering

Random particles with even distribution with x, y vectors, directional angles, and belief probabilities

Choose available action, perform on robot and all particles

Use sensor to measure surroundings, measure belief of each particle and update it Replace all particles with particles of same set size, chosen randomly (resampling)

2.1 Particle Filtering

We use Acting and Sensing to localize a robot.

Acting – Moving, grabbing stuff, sounds

Sensing - Measure environment to gather evidence

Uses Markov Localization: Bel(xk | x_{k=1}, d_k)

Uses the last location of the robot and current measurements to determine the belief at current location **Particle Filtering** uses Acting, Sensing, and Resampling to localize a robot.

Acting Step

- Robot chooses an action
- Applies same action to all particles

Movement of Particles MUST be noisy as Robot movement is noisy

Sensing Step

- Uses robot's sensors to take measurements
 - Compares measurements with simulated Ground Truth using map data for particles
- Compares sensor readings from robot to particle
- Updates beliefs for particles depending on the difference of sensed measurements of particle and robot
- Normalizes all particle beliefs

How to compare values

Error = Sensor(Robot) – Sensor(Particle) Plot normal distribution of error, obtain P(Error) Take Bel(p_k) = P(Error_k) * Bel(p_{k-1}) **Multiple Values?** Find the Euclidean error, that is \rightarrow

$$p(error) = \sqrt{\sum_{i}^{n} (\vec{R}_{i} - \vec{P}_{i})^{2}}$$

Resampling Step

Put all particles on a line, choose between [0, 1] randomly until a particle is chosen. Add to set. Repeat until set is full again We can choose to replace a percentage (10%) of particles before resampling with new random particles and small beliefs. If particles continue to disagree, the robot is **unrecoverable** and must be fully reset to try to re-localize.

Motion Model - The way the robot moves through the environment including all mechanical models and noise

Chapter 3 – Control Systems

3.0 Control Systems



Modern Control Systems

Input -> [Actuator, System, Sensors] -> Output |

-----Control-----

PID Controllers

Ι

Needs to measure error = reference - measured value

Uses three components – P, I, D:

Proportional Component:

(control input) u = k1e

Integral Component

(time component, exploring how long error has existed, forces error to zero)

u = k₁e + k₂∫e dt

Derivative Component

(dampening and making sure not to overshoot, reduces oscillations)

I

 $u = k_1 e + k_2 \int e \, dt + k_3 \, de/dt$

3.1 Kalman Filters

Neural Net Controllers, ran millions of times to figure out how the difference between what it is supposed to do and what it does, coming closer every time. (Trained on simulator)

Also called Model Predictive Control

Kalman Filtering Getting a solid and reliable estimate for the state of a system

Feedback controller uses the correlation between estimated and measured to improve the estimate of needed

Works in a dynamic system

Keeps track of the state vector

Requires a model for the system that can account for bad movements

Assume that both the sensor and process are noisy, noise assumed to be Zero mean Gaussian

Model Used – State is a vector Xt that contains set of state variables. The goal is to produce values of Xt+1

Equation for the evolution of a system -

$$\begin{split} X_t &= F_t X_{t-1} + B_t U_t + W_t \\ F_t X_{t-1} \text{ is the old state and how it affects the old state (F_t matrix)} \\ B_t U_t \text{ are the new inputs} \\ W_t \text{ are the noises} \\ \textbf{Sensor Model} \\ Z_t &= H_t X_t + V_t \\ Z_t \text{ is sensor measurement at t} \\ X_t \text{ is the state variable} \\ V_t \text{ is the noise} \\ H_t \text{ is how much the state affects sensor measurements} \end{split}$$

Kalman filters are limited to linear systems

There are tricks to apply Kalman filters for non-linear systems though

Chapter 4 – Reliability and Robustness

4.0 Building Fault-Tolerant Systems

Case study - the Therac-25

- Medical radiation machine
- First one to be software controlled
- 2 paths of operation based on time constraints, really fast triggers start therapy before inputs are entered
- Bad error messages
- Software did not check if input was safe
- Design process was broken

Things to consider:

Overconfidence in software Confusing reliability with safety Complacency Discounting of software risk Inadequate software engineering Software reuse

Safe vs User friendly user interfaces

Case study - the Mars rover

- Planned to last 90 days but lasted 30x longer, almost died on Day 18
- Wasn't entering sleep cycle
- Ran out of memory mounting the file system
- Stuck in a reboot loop
- Off shelf software did not delete info just marked for deletion

Mars orbiter -> Burnt on arrival, didn't account for unit conversion

Best Engineering Possible

Reliability – Probability that a system will work as intended over a given period of time (i.e. planes will work 99.9% over 5h) **MTTF** – Mean time to failure, average time until failure occurs, doesn't say anything about safety, better to have a backup **Availability** – The % of the time the system is up (used for services)

Model Reliability -

Coverage - If some component fails, others can be used to mask that

We want systems with good coverage

Dependency - Try to eliminate single points of failure

Components depend on something that can fail, invalidates the coverage

Combinatorial Parts Model

Can model reliability with success tree, such as reliability of P = 0.95 and C = 0.999, reliability of system that uses P and C is (0.95)*(0.999) per 5h.

AND – Multiply

 $OR - (1 - (1 - REL_1) * (1 - REL_2))$

Redundancy in Computer Systems

- Need to vote (odd number of sensors, odd one's value is considered faulty)
- Need redundant hardware, computing hardware, software
- Replicate sensors (vote with majority, use median)

Redundancy in Software:

- Safe software, different teams, different companies, different languages
- Even number of components, 1 is different for redundancy
- Expected to fly plane given any 2 failures
- Failure detection is hard
 - Abrupt failure, failure can be detected right away
 - o Gradual failure, results seem correct but will gradually worsen (bad!)
 - Random failure, results are correct but sometimes not (BAD!)

Consensus and voting-out reconfiguration

Technique to detect faulting computer systems so that they can be ignored and do not cause systems to failure

Fault Tolerant Control (FTC):

Passive

Robust control

Good controller

Active

On-line (learns on the fly, neutral networks)

Projection

Train or build a controller for every situation

- Failure system will select a controller based on diagnosis of sensor failure
- Should be big enough for every situation

4.2 Human or Computer Control

Case Study – Electronic Stability Program (ESP)

- Makes adjustments to individual brakes to control the car better than any human can
- Increased safety
- Reduced car crashes by 43% if all installed in cars

Things to consider:

How much control should we give to automated systems vs human control?

Case Study – Boeing vs Airbus

Boeing:

- Less automation
- Allows pilot to do what they want but provides feedback

Airbus:

- More automation
- Computer provides oversight (can override pilot)
- Complications arise when emergencies happen
- Case Study Air France Flight 447
 - Autopilot failed, control to pilots
 - Pilots should have been able to fly, but couldn't due to lack of knowledge

4.3 Finite State Control Systems

Components of AI:

Input/Perception - \rightarrow Decision Making/Planning \rightarrow Control

- We can use Finite State Machines (FSMs) for AI
 - States are equivalent to behaviors
 - Transitions are triggered by input, or changes in behavior

Pros:

- Efficient
- No complex computation
- Predictable
- Simulation is possible
- Can be mathematically analyzed

Cons:

- Finite amount of created states
- Cannot adapt to experience
- Predictability can be exploited
- Can result in spaghetti code

4.4 Robot Perception

Robot perception is buggy:

- We lose depth
- We blur motion
- Lose ability to make sense of image data

One solution -> Make patches, match patches, and if enough match, we can assume that it is the same picture

Localization using Landmarks is possible

In case we do not have a map, we use SLAM

Self Localization and Mapping

- 1. Determine Which landmarks are visible and check against an array of known landmarks for positions of landmark
- 2. Move robot to new location
- 3. Sense and measure again in step 1

4.5 Code Optimization

Pipelining – (Fetch, Decode, Execute, FDE), improves number of instructions per clock cycle (IPC) and shorter clock cycles **Branch Prediction** – Determine which condition will be ran most often and try to replace to be optimal (less checks). Technique that reduces impact of conditional statements on processor pipeline

Caching – Faster than RAM, diferent levels in CPU, code and data are separated, but can reuse data and code, and especially **access pattern**

Optimization Patterns:

Local Variables - minimize local variables in functions, stored in a stack, have to be allocated then deallocated. Parameter passing and returning value: Don't return large data structures by value, pass DS by reference Caching - Think locality and order-of-access (multi dimensional arrays) Strength Reduction – Less usage of multiplication, more addition/subtraction, etc.

Optimizing Code Flow:

Sequential Code – Sequential from start to end, no branching or cases Minimize Branching/Function Calls Inline shorter functions

Simplify if statements Use Boolean Algebra to simplify Nested If Statements Code for common cases first

Single If statements

Code for most constraining condition first

Switch statement instead of nested if/else

Can be transformed into a Jump Table

Loop Unrolling

We can reduce the number of evaluations for each loop by unrolling it

Profiling Code

The technique used to fnd bottlenecks in a program so that the slowest things can be optimized

Use

valgrind --tool=callgrind ./program

Check

kcachegrind callgrind.out.nnnnn

Chapter 5 – Real Time Systems

Operating Systems are responsible for **Scheduling Processes** and handling **Resource Management** OS needs to handle all to these things because all modern systems have multiple processes running Everything must ask OS for resources, OS can pause/start any other process

Real Time Operation

Deals with immediate changes in environment

Needs to minimize lag:

- Has to have as little lag as possible
- Ensure that there are no conditions under which lag can grow to very long periods
- Control systems needs to be run on real time systems

After some time, plans, control input, Al, etc. is not valid for the current state of the system anymore

- Case Study: Video games and the ATARI 2600
 - Can't have lag
 - Interrupt service routines (ISR)

Real Time Constraint

- Operational deadlines must be met
- Multiple processes have deadlines and must be scheduled
- Asynchronous events must be handled
- Types of RTCS:
 - Hard (missed deadlines means system failures)
 - Firm (allows infrequent missed deadlines)
 - Soft (missed deadlines means degraded service)

Schedulers – Scheduled processes to be completed

- Earliest Deadline First not a good idea unless you have enough resources
- Round Robin maybe nothing is completed on time
- Priority Based Processes have priorities, CPU time goes to higher priorities, longer process idles, higher priority

Preemptive Multitasking:

Pre-emption: Interrupting a task before it has completed

Context Switch: Switching between tasks, time required to swap depends on what resources needs to be released on task



Task 2, having a higher priority than task one, triggers a context switch. Task 1 releases its resources and Task 2 begins to run. When Task 2 finishes, Task one resumes.



Task 1s resources, interrupts Task 1 and begins to run. When it finishes, Task 1 resumes releasing its resources so that Task 3 can run.

PROBLEM: A medium priority task is stopping a low priority task from releasing its resources, thus a high priority task (T2) is stalled. **SOLUTION:** Lower priority task will inherit priority from higher priority task when releasing its resources for the higher priority task

Real Time OS Examples:

QNX: Canadian company working on Operating Systems **Micro kernel ->** Minimum set of operating system functionality, scheduling, memory management, interprocess management

Partitioning Operating Systems:

Separation of system resources into partitions to dedicate to individual applications Each process can only use their own specific partitions Ensures that process's resource consummation does not grow out of control